Scenario Analysis Appendix A

Scenario	Officer Working Group	s151 Officers	Joint Committee	Sub funds	Alternatives	Business Plan	ACCESS Support Unit
A.	A1. All OWG & sub- group meetings take place (via Skype or similar/ phone) as normal and for full duration.	A2. All s151 meetings take place via Skype (or similar) as originally scheduled and for full duration.	A3. ASU liaise with Chairman & Vice Chairman as normal; ASU email JC email updates as normal	A4. Sub-fund launch programme continues as planned. Authorities migrate full asset mandates into new sub- funds as planned	alternative proposals	A6. Other key milestones within business plan continue as planned.	A7. ASU continue workstreams e.g. web- site, sub-group draft ToRs, Governance Manual etc
В.	B1. Weekly one hour OWG call (via Skype or similar/phone). ASU to canvass OWG opinion on extent (if any) of sub- group activity	B2. ASU arrange calls and/or briefing emails for s151 Officers as	B3. ASU liaise with Chairman & Vice Chairman as required; ASU email JC email updates as appropriate.	Further work underway	brinance to keep	B6. ASU draft ESG / RI policy & Comms policy, review Risk Register etc updates given, to & steer taken from, OWG calls	B7. As scenario A
C.	C1. Weekly ASU email to OWG. No sub-group activity	C2. ASU arrange briefing emails for s151s	C3. ASU liaise with Chairman & Vice Chairman where possible; ASU email JC email updates when necessary.	No sub-fund to be launched if one or more, of the original investing authorities is unable to commit to launch at this time	C5. As scenario B	C6. ASU draft ESG / RI policy & Comms policy, review Risk Register etc to take steer once greater Authority engagement possible	C7. As scenario A

The coloured squares indicate the scenarios adopted in March / April. A further review by OWG commenced in June